

STAT475/675 TUT05

<http://www.sfu.ca/~zza115/teaching.html>
<http://people.stat.sfu.ca/~joanh/stat475-675web.html>

Zhiyang Zhou (zhiyang_zhou@sfu.ca)

2018-02-06

Multiple logistic regression

- Binary response Y (e.g. success (1)/failure (0))
- Several explanatory variables X_1, \dots, X_K
- $Y|X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_K = x_K \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi(x_1, \dots, x_K))$
- Model

$$\text{logit}(\pi(x_1, \dots, x_K)) = \ln \frac{\pi(x_1, \dots, x_K)}{1 - \pi(x_1, \dots, x_K)} = \alpha + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_K x_K$$

or, equivalently,

$$\pi(x_1, \dots, x_K) = \frac{\exp(\alpha + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_K x_K)}{1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_K x_K)} \in (0, 1)$$

- $\beta_i = \text{logit}(\pi(x_1, \dots, x_i = 1, \dots, x_K)) - \text{logit}(\pi(x_1, \dots, x_i = 0, \dots, x_K))$, the effect of X_i on the logarithm of odds of $Y = 1$, if X_i is binary, controlling the other explanatory variables

Inference for $\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_K$

- MLE

$$(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_K)^T \sim N((\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_K)^T, \Sigma)$$

with $\Sigma = (nI)^{-1}$ and Fisher information matrix I

- Approximate 95% joint CI

$$\left\{ (\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_K)^T : (\alpha - \hat{\alpha}, \beta_1 - \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \beta_K - \hat{\beta}_K) \Sigma^{-1} (\alpha - \hat{\alpha}, \beta_1 - \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \beta_K - \hat{\beta}_K)^T \leq \chi_{.95}^2(K+1) \right\}$$

- for any subset of $\{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_K\}$, the joint CI can be obtained by substituting the corresponding submatrix of Σ for the entire Σ

- Hypothesis Testing, e.g. on $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$ vs $H_1 : \beta_1 = 0$ or $\beta_2 = 0$

- Wald

$$\chi_{\text{obs}}^2 = (\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2) \Sigma^{-1} (\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2)^T \sim \chi^2(2)$$

approximately under H_0 when $n \gg 1$

- lrt

$$G_{\text{obs}}^2 = -2 \ln \frac{\max L(\alpha, 0, 0, \beta_3, \dots, \beta_K)}{\max L(\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \dots, \beta_K)} \sim \chi^2(2)$$

approximately under H_0 when $n \gg 1$

Inference for $\pi(x_1, \dots, x_K)$

- MLE

$$\hat{\pi}(x_1, \dots, x_K) = \text{logit}^{-1}(\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1 + \dots + \hat{\beta}_K x_K) = \frac{\exp(\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1 + \dots + \hat{\beta}_K x_K)}{1 + \exp(\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1 + \dots + \hat{\beta}_K x_K)}$$

- Approximate 95% CI for $\text{logit}(\pi(x_1, \dots, x_K))$

$$\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1 + \dots + \hat{\beta}_K x_K \pm 1.96 \sqrt{(1, x_1, \dots, x_K) \Sigma (1, x_1, \dots, x_K)^T}$$

- Approximate 95% CI for $\pi(x_1, \dots, x_K)$

$$\text{logit}^{-1} \left(\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1 + \dots + \hat{\beta}_K x_K \pm 1.96 \sqrt{(1, x_1, \dots, x_K) \Sigma (1, x_1, \dots, x_K)^T} \right)$$

More about predictors

- Transformation of predictors
 - Interaction
 - * e.g., $x_1 x_2$
 - General function
 - * e.g., $h(x_1)$
- Predictor with multiple levels
 - Qualitative: split it into multiple binary predictors
 - Quantitative: take it as a single ordinal predictor

Demo

The data included in “Arthritis” in package “vcd” is from Koch & Edwards (1988) after a double-blind clinical trial investigating a new treatment for rheumatoid arthritis.
