

# STAT475/675 Final Review

<http://www.sfu.ca/~zza115/teaching.html>  
<http://people.stat.sfu.ca/~joanh/stat475-675web.html>

*Zhiyang Zhou (zhiyang\_zhou@sfu.ca)*

*2018-04-09*

## One binary variable

- $\text{Ber}(\pi)$  and  $\text{Binom}(n, \pi)$
- MLE for  $\pi$ :  $\hat{\pi} = w/n$
- CI for  $\pi$ :
  - Wald: may exceed  $[0, 1]$
  - Wilson (score-type): always between 0 and 1
  - Agresti-Coull: recommended for  $n \geq 40$ ; may exceed  $[0, 1]$
  - Clopper-Pearson (exact): conservative
  - interpretation

## One Poisson variable

- $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu)$
- MLE for  $\mu$ :  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{Y}$
- CI for  $\mu$ :
  - Wald
  - score-type
  - Clopper-Pearson

## Two binary variables and $2 \times 2$ contingency table

- with binomial or multinomial sampling
  - Concepts
    - \* joint probability, marginal probability, conditional probability
    - \* independence:  $\pi_{ij} = \pi_{i+}\pi_{+j}$
    - \* difference  $(\frac{\pi_{11}}{\pi_{1+}} - \frac{\pi_{21}}{\pi_{2+}})$ , relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR)
    - \* why OR is preferred?
  - Inference
    - \* MLE for  $\pi_{ij}, \pi_{+j}, \pi_{i+}$
    - \* CI for difference: Wald, Agresti-Caffo
    - \* CI for RR or (OR): construct Wald CI for  $\ln(\text{RR})$  (or  $\ln(\text{OR})$ ) and take exp
      - log form is more appropriate to be normally approximated
      - the lower bound is above 0
      - interpretation
    - \* test independence
      - independence  $\Leftrightarrow \text{RR} = \text{OR} = 1$
      - find out whether CI covers 1
      - $\chi^2$ -test and LRT:  $n_{ij} \geq 5$  and  $n \gg 1$
- with hypergeometric sampling
  - Lady tasting tea
  - Fisher's exact test

- Permutation  $\chi^2$  test

## $I \times J$ contingency table (with purposive or multinomial sampling)

- $H_0 : \pi_{ij} = \pi_{i+} \pi_{+j}$  for all  $i, j$  vs  $H_1 : \pi_{ij} \neq \pi_{i+} \pi_{+j}$
- $\chi^2$ -test and LRT statistics  $\chi^2_{\text{obs}}$  and  $G^2_{\text{obs}}$  with  $(I-1)(J-1)$
- Conclusion
  - $p$ -value  $< \alpha$ : there is a strong evidence against  $H_0$ , i.e., a significant association between  $X$  and  $Y$

## $2 \times 2 \times K$ contingency table

- $X-Y$  partial table: fixed at a level of  $Z$ 
  - $X-Y$  conditional OR  $\theta_{XY(k)}$
  - homogeneous conditional  $X-Y$  association:  $\theta_{XY(k)} \equiv \text{constant}$ 
    - \* Breslow-Day test
    - \* Mantel-Haenszel estimator
  - $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y|Z \Leftrightarrow \theta_{XY(k)} = 1$  for all  $k$ 
    - \* Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test
- $X-Y$  marginal table: ignore  $Z$ 
  - $X-Y$  marginal OR:  $\theta_{XY}$
  - $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y \Leftrightarrow \theta_{XY} = 1$
- Simpson's paradox:  $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y \not\Rightarrow X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y|Z$  in general
- Test on mutual independence of  $(X, Y, Z)$ :  $\chi^2$ -test & LRT

## Unified framework

- Generalized linear model (GLM)
  - random component:  $Y \sim f_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_I}$ , a parametric distribution (belonging to the exponential family) characterized by mean functions  $\mu_i = \mu_i(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, I$
  - systematic component: linear function with respect to  $\beta$ 's
  - link function: monotone and differentiable over the range of  $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_I)$
- Generalized linear mixed model (GLMM)
  - compared with GLM,  $\beta$ 's are randomized

## Logistic regression

- GLM components
  - random component:  $Y \sim \text{Ber}(\pi) = \text{Binom}(1, \pi)$  with  $\pi = \pi(x_1, \dots, x_p)$
  - systematic Component: linear function with respect to  $\beta$ 's
  - link function: logit
- Inference
  - MLE for  $\beta$ 's and then  $\pi$  and ln OR
  - CI: detour
- Coding schemes for a predictor with  $m$  ( $\geq 2$ ) levels
  - qualitative: replace it with  $m - 1$  dummy binary predictors
    - \* R
    - \* SAS

- \* ANOVA-type
- quantitative: take it as a single ordinal predictor
- remark: parameters under different coding schemes have different values and meanings

## Multicategory logit model

- GLM components
  - random component:  $Y \sim \text{Multinom}(1, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_J)$ ,  $J \geq 3$
  - systematic Component: linear function with respect to  $\beta$ 's
  - link function
    - \*  $\ln \frac{\pi_j}{\pi_1}$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, J$ : baseline-category logit model
    - \*  $\text{logit}(\sum_{i=1}^j \pi_i(x_1, \dots, x_p))$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, J - 1$ : cumulative logit model
    - \*  $\ln \frac{\pi_{j+1}}{\pi_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, J - 1$ : adjacent-categories logit model
- Nominal response: baseline-category logit model
  - odds of Category  $j$  vs Category  $i$  for  $x_1, \dots, x_p$  regardless of baseline category:

$$\frac{\pi_j}{\pi_i} = \exp((\alpha_j - \alpha_i) + (\beta_{j1} - \beta_{i1})x_1 + \dots + (\beta_{jp} - \beta_{ip})x_p)$$

- OR of Category  $j$  vs Category 1 for  $x_1 + c_1, \dots, x_p + c_p$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_p$ :

$$\frac{\pi_j}{\pi_i} = \exp(\beta_{j1}c_1 + \dots + \beta_{jp}c_p)$$

- Ordinal response: cumulative logit & adjacent-categories logit model
  - proportional case:  $\beta_{j1} = \dots = \beta_{jp}$

## Loglinear regression

- GLM components
  - random component:  $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu)$  with  $\mu = \mu(x_1, \dots, x_p)$
  - systematic Component: linear function with respect to  $\beta$ 's
  - link function:  $\ln$
- Inference
  - MLE for  $\beta$ 's and then  $\pi$  and  $\ln \text{OR}$
  - CI: detour
- Coding schemes for a predictor with  $m$  ( $\geq 2$ ) levels
  - qualitative: replace it with  $m - 1$  dummy binary predictors
    - \* R
    - \* SAS
    - \* ANOVA-type
  - quantitative: take it as a single ordinal predictor
  - remark: parameters under different coding schemes have different values and meanings

## Loglinear regression for contingency Table

- Saturated loglinear model  $(XYZ)$
- Loglinear model of homogeneous association  $(XY, YZ, XZ)$ 
  - When  $I = J = 2$ ,

$$\ln \theta_{XYZ(k)} = \ln \frac{\mu_{11k}\mu_{22k}}{\mu_{12k}\mu_{21k}} = \beta_{11}^{XY} + \beta_{22}^{XY} - \beta_{12}^{XY} - \beta_{21}^{XY}$$

- \*  $\theta_{XY(k)}$  stays still for all  $k$ , i.e., homogeneous conditional OR holds
  - \* if  $\beta_{ij}^{XY} = 0$  for all  $i, j$ , then
    - $\theta_{XY(k)} = 1$  for all  $k$
    - $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y|Z$
- Loglinear model of independence  $(X, Y, Z)$

## Loglinear-logit connection

- loglinear( $XYZ$ )  $\Leftrightarrow Y \sim \text{logit}(XZ)$  or multi-logit( $XZ$ )
  - $\ln \mu_{ijk} = \lambda_0 + \lambda_i^X + \lambda_j^Y + \lambda_k^Z + \lambda_{ij}^{XY} + \lambda_{ik}^{XZ} + \lambda_{jk}^{YZ} + \lambda_{ijk}^{XYZ}$
  - $\ln \frac{\pi_{ijk}}{\pi_{ij'k}} = \beta_{j0} + \beta_{ji}^X + \beta_{jk}^Z + \beta_{jik}^{XZ}$
  - $\beta_{j0} = \lambda_j^Y - \lambda_{j'}^Y$
  - $\beta_{ji}^X = \lambda_{ij}^{XY} - \lambda_{ij'}^{XY}$
  - $\beta_{jk}^Z = \lambda_{jk}^{YZ} - \lambda_{j'k}^{YZ}$
  - $\beta_{jik}^{XZ} = \lambda_{ijk}^{XYZ} - \lambda_{ij'k}^{XYZ}$
- loglinear( $XY, YZ, XZ$ )  $\Leftrightarrow Y \sim \text{logit}(X, Z)$  or multi-logit( $X, Z$ )
  - $\ln \mu_{ijk} = \lambda_0 + \lambda_i^X + \lambda_j^Y + \lambda_k^Z + \lambda_{ij}^{XY} + \lambda_{ik}^{XZ} + \lambda_{jk}^{YZ}$
  - $\ln \frac{\pi_{ijk}}{\pi_{ij'k}} = \beta_{j0} + \beta_{ji}^X + \beta_{jk}^Z$
  - $\beta_{j0} = \lambda_j^Y - \lambda_{j'}^Y$
  - $\beta_{ji}^X = \lambda_{ij}^{XY} - \lambda_{ij'}^{XY}$
  - $\beta_{jk}^Z = \lambda_{jk}^{YZ} - \lambda_{j'k}^{YZ}$
- Given  $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Z|Y$ , loglinear( $XY, YZ$ )  $\Leftrightarrow Y \sim \text{logit}(X, Z)$  or multi-logit( $X, Z$ )
  - $\ln \mu_{ijk} = \lambda_0 + \lambda_i^X + \lambda_j^Y + \lambda_k^Z + \lambda_{ij}^{XY} + \lambda_{jk}^{YZ}$
  - $\ln \frac{\pi_{ijk}}{\pi_{ij'k}} = \beta_{j0} + \beta_{ji}^X + \beta_{jk}^Z$
  - $\beta_{j0} = \lambda_j^Y - \lambda_{j'}^Y$
  - $\beta_{ji}^X = \lambda_{ij}^{XY} - \lambda_{ij'}^{XY}$
  - $\beta_{jk}^Z = \lambda_{jk}^{YZ} - \lambda_{j'k}^{YZ}$

## Modified Poisson model

- Poisson rate regression
  - GLM components
    - \* random component:  $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu)$  with  $\mu = \mu(t, x_1, \dots, x_p)$
    - \* systematic Component: linear function with respect to  $\ln t$  and  $\beta$ 's
    - \* link function:  $\ln$
- Zero-inflated Poisson (ZIP) model
  - GLM components
    - \* random component: with  $\mu = \mu(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ ,

$$Y \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{with probability } \pi \\ \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu) & \text{with probability } 1 - \pi \end{cases}$$

- \* systematic Component
  - $\ln \mu = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_p x_p$
  - $\text{logit}^{-1}\pi = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 z_1 + \dots + \gamma_J z_J$
- \* link function:  $\ln$  for  $\mu$  & logit for  $\pi$

## Probit Regression

- GLM components
  - random component:  $Y \sim \text{Ber}(\pi) = \text{Binom}(1, \pi)$  with  $\pi = \pi(x_1, \dots, x_p)$
  - systematic Component: linear function with respect to  $\beta$ 's
  - link function:  $\Phi^{-1}$ , where  $\Phi$  is the cdf of  $N(0, 1)$

## Marginal modelling

- Response without specific distribution
- Quasi-Poisson
  - Motivation: overdispersion
  - GLM components
    - \* random component:  $Y \sim (\mu, \rho\mu)$
    - \* systematic Component: linear function with respect to  $\beta$ 's
    - \* link function:  $\ln$
  - Remark
    - \* The loglinear model and quasi-Poisson model offer identical estimate for the mean function.
    - \* CIs from the quasi-Poisson model completely cover corresponding ones from the loglinear model.
- Generalized estimating equation (GEE)
  - Motivation: existence of within-cluster correlation
  - GLM components
    - \* random component:  $Y_{ij}$  with  $E(Y_{ij}) = \mu_{ij}$  and  $\text{cov}(Y_{ij}, Y_{i'j'}) = 0$  if  $i \neq i'$
    - \* systematic Component: linear function with respect to  $\beta$ 's
    - \* link function: based on the response
  - Remark
    - \* Even the working correlation is misspecified, the estimate of mean function is still consistent.

## Model evaluation and selection

- Model checking
  - inferential methods
    - \*  $H_0 : M$  is correct vs  $H_1$  : otherwise
      - special case: checking independence for contingency tables
    - \*  $\chi^2$ -test & LRT
  - graphical method: residual plots
    - \* Pearson's residual
    - \* standardized (adjusted) Pearson's residual
    - \* extreme residuals
      - $|e_i| \geq 2$  (or  $|e_i^*| \geq 2$ ): 5% if the model is correct
      - $|e_i| \geq 3$  (or  $|e_i^*| \geq 3$ ): extremely rare (0.1%) if the model is correct
      - $|e_i| \geq 4$  (or  $|e_i^*| \geq 4$ ): unexpected at all if the model is correct
- Model comparison and variable selection
  - LRT:  $M_0 \subset M_1$ ,
 
$$H_0 : M_0 \text{ vs } H_1 : M_1$$
    - \* under  $H_0$ ,  $\mathcal{G}^2(M_0|M_1) = \mathcal{G}^2(M_0|M_s) - \mathcal{G}^2(M_1|M_s) = -2 \ln \frac{\max L_{M_0}}{\max L_{M_1}} \approx \chi^2(\text{df}_{\text{res}}(M_0) - \text{df}_{\text{res}}(M_1))$ 
      - $M_s$  is the saturated model
      - $\text{df}_{\text{res}}(M_0) - \text{df}_{\text{res}}(M_1)$  = the difference on numbers of non-redundant parameters

- $M_0$  ought to be nested into  $M_1$
- information criteria:  $IC(k) = -2 \ln(L(\hat{\beta}|\text{data})) + kr$
- quasi-information criteria

## Summary

- Have a big picture on contingency tables and GLMs
- Review lecture notes carefully: understand the concepts and examples
- Review assignments and midterm papers: understand the R outputs

## TA Office Hours

- 11am - 12pm, April 11, AQ4145
- 11am - 12pm, April 18, AQ4145
- 10am - 12pm, April 20, AQ4145